

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
OCT 22 2004

001/011

Attorney's Docket No. 60,426-149; 2000P07804US01

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: MORRISON
Serial No.: 09/883,756
Filed: 06/18/2001
Group Art Unit: 2635
Examiner: Au, Scott D.
For: REMOTE SIGNAL TRANSMISSION CONTROL
INCLUDING COMPENSATION FOR VARIATIONS
IN TRANSMITTER COMPONENTS

Commissioner For Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

STATUS INQUIRY

1. More than ONE (1) month has passed since

 NEW APPLICATIONS
the filing of this application on _____
No communication have been received from the Patent and Trademark Office
indicating action on this application.

 X AMENDED APPLICATIONS
the filing of a response on September 13, 2004 (copy attached)

No Further communication has been received from the Patent and Trademark
Office.

***** See attached chronological correspondence of events.

 APPEALED APPLICATION
The Appeal Brief was filed on _____
(check and complete applicable items below)
 An Examiner's Answer was mailed on _____
 A Reply to the Examiner's Answer was submitted on _____

 ALLOWED APPLICATIONS
the mailing of FORM POL-327 and/or Examiner's Amendment on

2. Kindly advise the undersigned of the present status of this application.

Note: Status Inquiries should not be submitted for:

NEW applications until a reasonable period after the Official Gazette indicates that the filing date of the "oldest new case" awaiting action in the group to which the application is assigned, is subsequent to the filing date of the application, or

*AMENDED applications within six (6) months after the filing of a response to which no reply from the PTO has been received, or
ALLOWED applications where a notice of allowance is not received within three (3) months from the receipt of either a Form PTOL-327 or an Examiner's Amendment.*

See Notice of November 24, 1971 (893 O.G. 810)

Reg. No.: 37,139

Tel. No.: (248) 988-8360

Fax No.: (248) 988-8363


SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY

David I. Gaskey

type or print name of attorney

400 West Maple Road, Suite 350

P.O. Address

Birmingham, MI 48009

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 CFR 1.8A)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being:

MAILING

— deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231

Date: 10/22/2004

FACSIMILE

☒ transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office via facsimile no. 1-703-872-9306


Signature

Theresa M. Palmateer

(type or print name of person certifying)

USPTO 9/13/2004 10:59 AM PAGE 1/00 Fax Server
 O:Auto-reply fax to 12489888363 COMPANY:

Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission



TO:

Fax Sender at 12489888363

Fax Information

Date Received:

9/13/2004 10:56:10 AM [Eastern Daylight Time]

Total Pages:

8 (Including cover page)

ADVISORY: This is an automatically generated return receipt confirmation of the facsimile transmission received by the Office. Please check to make sure that the number of pages listed as received in Total Pages above matches what was intended to be sent. Applicants are advised to retain this receipt in the unlikely event that proof of this facsimile transmission is necessary. Applicants are also advised to use the certificate of facsimile transmission procedures set forth in 37 CFR 1.8(a) and (b), 37 CFR 1.6(f). Trademark Applicants, also see the Trademark Manual of Examining Procedure (TMEP) section 306 et seq.

Received
Cover
Page
=====>

09/13/2004 EDN 10:56 FAX 12489888363 Carlson, Gaskey & Olds

001/008

09/13/2004
20001078400301

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application: Madison
 Serial No.: 09/883,736
 Filed: 06/18/2001
 Group Art Unit: 2635
 Examiner: Aa, Scott D.
 For: REMOTE SIGNAL TRANSMISSION CONTROL INCLUDING
 COMPENSATION FOR VARIATIONS IN TRANSMITTER
 COMPONENTS

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Mail Stop AP
 Commissioner for Patents
 P. O. Box 1450
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This paper is responsive to the Final Office Action mailed on July 13, 2004.
 Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of this application.

Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112. The claims are clearly supported by the specification. The specification clearly indicates that the microprocessor utilizes the correction factor in subsequent signal transmissions. Having the claims limited to "all" subsequent transmissions fits within the scope of the disclosure, and, therefore, is enabled. Just because a claim limitation is more narrow than a description within a specification does not mean that it is not enabled. The written description clearly supports the claims.

1

PAGE 10 * RCVD AT 09/20/04 10:56:10 AM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXXF-1/2 * DNIS:8729306 * CSID:12489888363 * DURATION (mm-ss):03-12